

25X1

Bilateral Trade

Trade with South Africa accounts for only about 1 percent of Japan's total trade, but it is vital to Japanese industry. Japan depends on South Africa for 95 percent of its scarce metals, particularly rhodium, which is used in making catalytic converters for automobiles. Japanese auto manufacturers are switching to catalytic converters that do not use rhodium--partly out of concern about Pretoria's stability--but will nonetheless depend on South African rhodium at least for the next few years.

25X1

South Africa also has a large stake in its trade ties to Japan. Japan accounted for over 10 percent of South Africa's total trade in 1984, and is an important supplier of motor vehicles and industrial machinery. For its part, Pretoria has not been dissuaded from promoting trade with Japan by the recent imposition of Japanese sanctions. Pretoria granted Japan most-favored-nation status in October, and private South African economic analysts have encouraged their government to expand relations with Asian trading partners as a hedge against Western trade restrictions. Some South African business and government leaders believe Asian nations are less likely than Western countries to suspend economic relations with South Africa for political reasons

25X1

Two-way trade between Japan and South Africa appears likely to drop to \$2.5-3 billion in 1985, down from the annual level of \$3-4 billion in 1980-84. The balance of trade was fairly even until this year, when it swung sharply in South Africa's favor. Although Japanese imports from South Africa increased moderately in the first half of 1985, exports were only about half their level in the first half of 1984, because of the rand's weakness relative to the yen, decreased demand for imports caused by the recession in South Africa, and, in our view, Japanese suppliers' doubts about the creditworthiness of South African importers. In addition, MITI raised export insurance rates on shipments to South Africa in September, a move that may depress exports further in the near term.

Economic Sanctions: More Talk Than Action

Tokyo has pursued a damage-limiting strategy in its relations with South Africa. It has adopted sanctions to keep pace with US action and to minimize Japanese financial risks from possible future instabilty in the region but has sought to keep trade links open. For example, the measures announced by Tokyo in September were relatively mild. At that time, Japanese officials reaffirmed their 30-year ban on direct investment in South Africa and issued administrative guidance restricting terms of export financing.

25X1

2

or mi in or fo	sure Japan did Pretoria. Exp litary was prob port of gold Ki erating in Sout or all races and	nced new economic sanctions in mid-October to not lag the United States in applying pressur ort of computers to the South African police nibited, and Tokyo said it would discourage thruegerrands. Tokyo also urged Japanese firms the Africa to provide equal opportunity employments amended a ban on cultural exchanges to allow can students to study in Japan.	or ie ient
ti e i bu	We believe to the volume of transfect on trade of the believe expenses.	the new sanctions will not significantly affected. The only measure that could have a serice is the limited ban on the export of computers, wen this step will have a very small impact	uş
be Ja ur	cause computers	s have accounted for only about 10 percent of to South Africa over the last five years and e y still be exported to private South African	even
<u>S (</u>	cond Thoughts	About Sanctions	
	In our view	. Tokyo's concern over the possibility of Sout	th
p J s a t	frican retaliat olitical costs apanese officia upporters of th lso mindful tha han the United	, Tokyo's concern over the possibility of Soution does not outweigh its recognition of the of abandoning sanctions. Press reports suggests clearly do not want to be perceived as e South African Government. Moreover, Tokyot, if it takes a softer approach to sanctions States, it could be exposed to the charge that	s t i s
p J s a t J	frican retaliat olitical costs apanese officia upporters of th lso mindful tha han the United	ion does not outweigh its recognition of the of abandoning sanctions. Press reports sugges ls clearly do not want to be perceived as e South African Government. Moreover, Tokyot, if it takes a softer approach to sanctions	s t i s
p J s a t J A	frican retaliat colitical costs apanese officia upporters of the last mindful that han the United apan is benefit frica. Even so, we he street to aven this score an iew, probably measures but wil	ion does not outweigh its recognition of the of abandoning sanctions. Press reports suggestly clearly do not want to be perceived as a South African Government. Moreover, Tokyot, if it takes a softer approach to sanctions States, it could be exposed to the charge that ing from US restrictions on trade with South oid South African retaliation. Tokyo's concerd its wish to minimize trade restraints, in or ean that future sanctions will resemble US have less economic bite. The Japanese "limexports is an example of the approach that is	st is t s of rn ur ited

Outlook

25X1

We believe economic ties between Japan and South Africa will continue to be important to both sides. The recent slump in Japanese exports to South Africa is, to us, entirely attributable to South Africa's economic troubles and the reluctance of Japanese exporters to extend credit, and we expect a turnaround if the economic situation in South Africa improves. Japan's imports from South Africa also should remain high. We believe Pretoria is unlikely to restrict sales to Japan in the nearterm given its recent attempts to promote trade with Japan.

25X1

If unrest in South Africa escalates, Japanese firms will probably boost imports to fatten their stockpiles of anthracite coal and rare metals. We believe private Japanese businesses have few misgivings about continuing to trade with South Africa, and there appears to be relatively little concern about the short-term security situation.

25X1 25X1

25X1

Present policies allow Japan to appear to support US foreign policy and avoid criticism from Pretoria's opponents at a cost acceptable to Tokyo. We would, therefore, expect the Japanese Government to continue to pursue its policy of following the United States lead but defining sanctions so narrowly that they do not appreciably affect bilateral trade.

25X1

4

Japan's Trade with South Africa, 1980-1985

(in millions of dollars; percentage of total in parentheses)

<u>Year</u>	Exports	Imports	Balance
1980	\$1,800.3 (1.4%)	\$1,740.9 (1.2%)	\$59.4
1981	2,222.0 (1.5)	1,728.3 (1.2)	493.7
1982	1,654.7 (1.2)	1,840.2 (1.4)	-185.5
1983	1,738.0 (1.20	1,587.3 (1.3)	150.7
1984	1,839.9 (1.1)	1,610.6 (1.2)	229.3
1984 (lst half)	989.3 (1.2)	792.4 (1.1)	196.9
1985 (lst half)	493.8 (0.6)	891.1 (1.4)	-397.3

```
25X1
SUBJECT:
          Japan-South Africa Economic Ties: Vital Despite Sanctions
Distribution:
      Original - OEA/NEA/Japan Branch
             1 - Donald Gregg, Assistant to the Vice President
             1 - William Sherman, State/EAP
             1 - Thomas Hubbard, Director, Office of Japanese Affairs/State
             1 - Charles Khartman, Deputy Director, Office of Japanese
                 Affairs/State
             1 - William Brooks, INR/EAP/Japan
             1 - John Malott, State
             1 - Nick Riegg, INR/EC/Japan
             1 - Rea Brazeal, Japan Desk/State
             1 - James Kelley, DOD/ISA
             1 - James Lilley, State/EAP
             1 - Richard Childress, NSC
             1 - Steve Danzansky, Staff Member NSC
             1
                                                                                   25X1
             1
             1
             1 - Byron L. Jackson, Office of Intelligence Liaison/Dept. of
                 Commerce
             1 - Maureen Smith, Japan Desk/Dept. of Commerce
             1 - Glen Fukushima, Director for Japan/USTR
             1 - Doug Mulholland, Office of the Special Assistant to the
                 Secretary for National Security/Dept. of Treasury
             1 - Mary Elizabeth Hansen, International Economist/Dept. of
                 Treasury
             1 - William Barreda, Director Office of International
                 Trade/Dept. of Treasury
                                                                                   25X1
             1 -
             1 - NIO/EA (7E-62)
             1 - NIO/Economics
             1 - C/PPS/DO (DO1)
             1 - C/EA
                        (5E18)
                                                                                   25X1
             1 - 0GI/TTC/PI
             1 - OEA/NEA/Korea Branch
             1 - OEA/NEA/STI Branch
             1 - OEA/NEA Division
             1 - OEA/China Division
             1 - OEA/SEA Division
             1 - D/OEA (4F18)
              1 - C/Research/OEA
              1 - FBIS Analysis Group
              1 - DDI
              1 - Senior Review Panel
              1 - PDB Staff (7F30)
              5 - CPAS/IMC/CG (7G07)
              1 - CPAS/ILS (7F50)
              1 - C/PES (7F24)
              1 - NIC/AG (7E47)
              1 - DDO/EA_Division (5D00)
                                                                                  25X1
              1 - DDO/EA (5E22)
              1 - OCR/EAD/AB (1H18)
```

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2009/11/02 : CIA-RDP85T01058R000202100001-1

	25X1
DDI/OEA/NEA	15 November 1985
	25X1